

Subscribe 🔊

Login Register AANA Members Login here ISAKOS Members Login here

Articles & Issues Collections For Authors For Reviewers Subscribe Society Information More Periodicals Search for in All Fields ▼ Go Advanced Search Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery « Previous Next » Print or Share This Page Volume 16, Issue 6, Pages 588-594, September 2000 Get Full Text Elsewhere Arthroscopy of the elbow: A long-term clinical review□ Article Tools Ashok S. Reddy, M.D., Ronald S. Kvitne, M.D., Lowis A. Yosum, M.D., Neal S. EtAltrache, M.D., Honald E. Glousman, M.D., Frank W. Jobe, Download images* Email Abstract Add to My Reading List Abstract Full Text Images References Rights/Permissions Request Reprints Abstract Related Articles Purpose: The purpose of this study was to review a large group of patients who had arthroscopy of the elbow to determine the efficacy and relative risks of this procedure. Type of Study: We retrospectively reviewed a convenient sample of 172 patients (23) Cited in Scopus who underwent 187 arthroscopies of the elbow over a 7-year period. Materials and Methods: All patients had their charts and Export Citation radiographs reviewed, and 104 of these patients were also contacted for a telephone interview at an average follow-up of 42.3 months (range, 7 to 115 months). Results: The procedures were performed primarily by 7 different surgeons, using all 3 Greate Citation Alert standard operating positions and a variety of arthroscopic portals. The most common diagnosis was posterior impingement in 96 patients (51%), followed by loose bodies in 72 patients (31%), and degenerative joint disease in 32 patients (22%). The * Image Usage & Resolution average preoperative modified Figgie score was 27.7 points (range, 17-43) for all patients. The average postoperative score was 45.4 points (range, 29-50), with the largest increase occurring in the pain score. There were 51 patients (56%) with an excellent surgical result, 37 patients (36%) with a good result, 12 patients (11%) with a fair result, and 4 patients (4%) with a poor result. The average modified Figgle score increased from 31.2 points (range, 22-43) to 48.9 (range, 29-50) postoperatively

Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic and Related surgery, Vol 16, No 6 (September), 2000: pp 588-594

in professional athletes; from 26.3 to 42.6 in patients who had Workers' Compensation claims but were not professional athletes; from 29.4 to 45.6 in patients with a diagnosis of loose bodies; and from 30.1 to 43.7 in patients with degenerative joint disease. There were 3 known surgical complications (1.6%) overall, 1 of which was a patient who had a transection of the ulnar nerve requiring microsurgical repair. Of the 104 patients who were contacted, 6 patients felt that their symptoms had not improved after surgery. Eight of the 55 baseball players contacted were not able to return to their same level of competition.

Conclusions: Arthroscopy of the elbow appears to be a safe and efficacious procedure with a relatively low complication rate.

Keywords: Eibow, Arthroscopy, Impingement, Loose bodies, Complications

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Ashok S. Reddy, M.D., Peachtree Orthopaedic Clinic, 5505 Peachtree Dunwoody Rd. Suite 600, Atlanta, GA 30342, U.S.A. E-mail: asready® pocations, com

PII: S0749-8063(00)72680-6

doi:10.1053/jars.2000.8953

© 2000 Arthroscopy Association of North America. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

« Previous Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery
Volume 16, Issue 6, Pages 588-594, September 2000

Next »